

ENERGY STAR® Lighting Road Mapping Workshop Update

ENERGY STAR® Products Partner Meeting 2014 Scottsdale, Arizona October 29, 2014





Agenda

- Overview
- Recap of Meetings and Topics Covered
- Overview of Recommending Documents
- Outstanding or new topics
- Next Steps
- Future of lighting roadmapping





Goal

 To increase collaboration between EPA, NEMA, and lighting stakeholders to respond to the rapidly evolving lighting world and facilitating discussions for the near future of the ENERGY STAR lighting program.







Objectives

- Build on 15 years of partnership to foster the future success of the ENERGY STAR program for lighting
- Look ahead creatively to the next 5 years, with the goal of realizing ENERGY STAR requirements that deliver on consumer expectations for lighting quality and efficiency
- Establish pathways to furthering engagement between EPA's ENERGY STAR program, lighting manufacturers, and energy efficiency program sponsors on an ongoing basis





Areas of Interest

ENERGY STAR Verification Testing:

 Outline key considerations regarding the focus of verification testing for ENERGY STAR lighting products by product type

ENERGY STAR Market Trends and Research:

 Outline key market trends and areas that merit further research to inform the ENERGY STAR lighting program moving forward

ENERGY STAR Product Specifications:

 Outline key considerations of relevant ENERGY STAR specification processes for the coming 3-5 years





Topics Discussed

- March 27th Lamps Verification Testing
- May 29th Market Trends and Research
- June 26th Emerging Products and Technology Trends
- July 31st Lamps Verification Testing
- August 28th Market Research







NEMA Recommendations

- SSL is a fast-moving technology in constant development
 - Product life cycles are shortening
 - Verification testing takes up to 6 months
 - A shorter, yet effective, process would help keep up with innovation and reduce costs
- Cost is a significant impediment to adoption
 - Can time and cost be reduced without sacrificing integrity?
- Industry has made a proposal via the roadmapping working group to streamline and shorten VT





Topics for today's discussion

- Consumer research effort
- Verification testing for lighting products
 - Review of product failures from up front testing and verification testing to date
- Connected lighting products
- Future of roadmapping





Lighting Verification Testing Results Summary

- 2012
 - Decorative Light Strings 2
- 2013
 - LED Lamps 4
 - Luminaires 52
- 2014
 - Decorative Light Strings 6
 - LED Lamps 67
 - Luminaires 147

Grand Total 278





Testing Failures

- Overall Notable Results:
 - Highest failure criteria:
 - Efficacy 5.56%
 - Lumen Maintenance 5.19%
 - Color Rendering 3.33%
 - Color Maintenance 2.96%
 - In-Situ Temperature 2.59%







Testing Failures

- LED Lamps
 - Early Certification a challenge
 - 17 LED lamps failed lumen maintenance or life between 3000 and 6000 hours
 - VT still early in the process
 - 1 each Noise, Frequency and Lifetime failure
- Luminaires
 - Longer history
 - Efficacy 7.54% of Luminaires tested
 - CRI 4.05 % of Luminaires tested
 - In-Situ 3.52% of Luminaires tested
 - Source Issues 3.02% of luminaires tested








CFL VT Results: Batch 1

			Re	sults	Failures			Passing			
						Full	Marginal				
		Passing Criteria	Mean	Median	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Total	Percentage	
	Rapid Cycle Stress Test	5/6 survive to half of rated life	5.44	6	4	6%	7	10%	57	84%	
	Interim Life	9/10 survive to 40% of rated life	9.21	10	5	8%	6	9%	55	83%	
	40% Life Lumen Maintenance	> 80%	85%	86%	7	11%			59	89%	
	1,000 Hour Lumen Maintenance	> 90%	93%	94%	7	10%			61	90%	
	Chromaticity	coordinates inside ellipse	9.47	10	3	4%	3	4%	62	91%	
	Run-Up Time	< 60 or <180 seconds	47.8	32.5	4	6%			64	94%	
	Starting Time	< 1000 milliseconds	360	270	3	4%			65	96%	
	Power Factor	> 0.5	0.59	0.56	3	4%			65	96%	
	Efficacy	Varies by type	65.3	67.82	1	1%			67	99%	
	Color Rendering Index	> 80	82.7	82.4	0	0%			68	100%	
	Total				21	31%	8	12%	39	57%	






CFL VT Results: Batch 2







CFL VT Results: Batch 3







Discussion

- Can a reduced set of tests provide some assurance of a reasonably good product, without performing all the costly and lengthy tests that are done for up front certification?
- Considerations
 - SSL product forecasts of <12 month product lifecycle
 - Reduced cost in any stage of testing helps contribute to improved adoption & satisfaction
 - Verification testing for ENERGY STAR LED lamps just began so little is known on post certification performance, while much is known for CFLs due to 5 years of verification testing
 - 17 LED lamp failures have occurred between 3,000-6,000 hour in up front testing





"Connected" Product Features

- EPA continues to seek ways to further advance products with intelligent features in ways that deliver immediate consumer benefit and support a low-carbon electricity grid over the long term.
- Optional "Connected" criteria in appliance specification are designed to enable:
 - Energy savings
 - Convenience
 - Smart grid interconnection with the option to override when necessary





"Connected" Functionality Status



New Opportunities

- ✓ Demand responsive; today clothes dryers draw about 6kW:
 - Delay start cycle
 - Reduce power draw during cycle by 80%, temporarily
- ✓ Alerts: filter blocked, using the "eco" cycle is saving you 20 percent on your energy.
- ✓ Start the wash cycle an hour before you're home so it can go into the dryer immediately.
- ✓ New possibilities for increasing the efficiencies of paired communicating washer and dryer.

Product Category	Status of Consideration in ENERGY STAR Specification					
	Finalized	In Dev'l				
Climate Controls		X				
Refrigerators, Freezers	X					
Clothes Dryers	X					
Clothes Washers		Х				
Pool Pumps		X				
Room ACs		X				
Dishwashers		X				





"Connected" Functionality for Lighting

- Introduced for Luminaires 2.0
- Slated for Lamps 2.0
- What does "connected" mean for Lighting products?
 - Features and functionality





Next Steps?



